

Tutorial solutions 3

COMP 2411, Session 1, 2004

Q1: Proof that $\{\varphi \rightarrow \psi, \psi \rightarrow \chi\} \models \varphi \rightarrow \chi$:

1. φ Extra hypothesis
2. $\varphi \rightarrow \psi$ Hypothesis
3. ψ MP 1,2
4. $\psi \rightarrow \chi$ Hypothesis
5. χ MP 3,4
6. $\varphi \rightarrow \chi$ Deduction rule 1,5

Proof that $\{\varphi \rightarrow \psi \rightarrow \chi, \psi\} \models \varphi \rightarrow \chi$:

1. φ Extra hypothesis
2. ψ Hypothesis
3. $\varphi \rightarrow \psi \rightarrow \chi$ Hypothesis
4. $\psi \rightarrow \chi$ MP 1,3
5. χ MP 2,4
6. $\varphi \rightarrow \chi$ Deduction rule 1,5

2: Proof that $\models \neg\neg\varphi \rightarrow \varphi$:

1. $(\neg\varphi \rightarrow \neg\neg\varphi) \rightarrow (\neg\varphi \rightarrow \neg\varphi) \rightarrow \varphi$ Axiom3
2. $\neg\varphi \rightarrow \neg\varphi$ Proof in lecture notes
3. $(\neg\varphi \rightarrow \neg\neg\varphi) \rightarrow \varphi$ Q1 second part 1,2
4. $\neg\neg\varphi \rightarrow \neg\varphi \rightarrow \neg\neg\varphi$ Axiom 1
5. $\neg\neg\varphi \rightarrow \varphi$ Q1 first part 4,3

Proof that $\models \varphi \rightarrow \neg\neg\varphi$:

1. $(\neg\neg\neg\varphi \rightarrow \neg\varphi) \rightarrow (\neg\neg\neg\varphi \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow \neg\neg\varphi$ axiom3
2. $\neg\neg\neg\varphi \rightarrow \neg\varphi$ Previous proof
3. $(\neg\neg\neg\varphi \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow \neg\neg\varphi$ MP 1,2
4. $\varphi \rightarrow \neg\neg\neg\varphi \rightarrow \varphi$ Axiom 1
5. $\varphi \rightarrow \neg\neg\varphi$ Q1 first part 4,3

Proof that $\{\varphi \rightarrow \psi\} \models \neg\psi \rightarrow \neg\varphi$:

1. $\varphi \rightarrow \psi$ Hypothesis
2. $\neg\varphi \rightarrow \varphi$ Penultimate proof
3. $\neg\varphi \rightarrow \psi$ Q1 first part 2,1
4. $\psi \rightarrow \neg\neg\psi$ Previous proof
5. $\neg\varphi \rightarrow \neg\neg\psi$ Q1 first part 3,4
6. $(\neg\varphi \rightarrow \neg\neg\psi) \rightarrow \neg\psi \rightarrow \neg\varphi$ Proof in lecture notes
7. $\neg\psi \rightarrow \neg\varphi$ MP 5,6

Q3. The lecture notes give a proof that $\{\varphi, \neg\varphi\} \models \psi$. Two successive applications of the deduction

rule yield that $\varphi \rightarrow \neg\varphi \rightarrow \psi$ is valid. Since $\varphi \vee \psi$ has been defined (in this proof system) as $\neg\varphi \rightarrow \psi$, we are done.

Q4: Given a formula φ , let φ^* be φ with all negations in φ being deleted. It is immediately verified that for all formulas φ , if φ is an instance of axiom 1 or 2 then φ^* is valid. Moreover, for all formulas φ, ψ , $(\varphi \rightarrow \psi)^* = \varphi^* \rightarrow \psi^*$. Hence if a formula φ_3 can be obtained by modus ponens from two formulas φ_1 and φ_2 then φ_3^* can be obtained by modus ponens from φ_1^* and φ_2^* . We infer that if axiom scheme 3 could be proved from axioms schemes 1 and 2 with MP, then for all instances φ of axiom 3, φ^* would be valid. But $\varphi = (\neg p \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (\neg p \rightarrow p) \rightarrow p$ is an instance of axiom 3, and $\varphi^* = (p \rightarrow p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow p) \rightarrow p$ is false when p is false. We conclude that axiom scheme 3 cannot be proved from axioms schemes 1 and 2 with MP.

Q5: Intuitionistic proof in Natural deduction (without RAA) that $\models (\varphi \wedge \neg\varphi) \rightarrow \psi$:

$$\frac{\frac{[\varphi \wedge \neg\varphi]^1}{\varphi} \wedge E \quad \frac{[\varphi \wedge \neg\varphi]^1}{\neg\varphi} \wedge E}{\frac{\perp}{\psi} \perp} \neg E$$

$$\frac{}{(\varphi \wedge \neg\varphi) \rightarrow \psi} \rightarrow I_1$$

Q6: Intuitionistic proof in Natural deduction (without RAA) that $\{ \neg(\varphi \vee \psi) \} \models \neg(\neg\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$:

$$\frac{\frac{[\varphi]^1}{\varphi \vee \psi} \vee I \quad \neg(\varphi \vee \psi)}{\neg\varphi} \neg E$$

$$\frac{\frac{\perp}{\neg\varphi} \neg I_1 \quad [\neg\varphi \rightarrow \psi]^2}{\psi} \rightarrow E$$

$$\frac{\frac{\psi}{\varphi \vee \psi} \vee I \quad \neg(\varphi \vee \psi)}{\perp} \neg E$$

$$\frac{}{\neg(\neg\varphi \rightarrow \psi)} \neg I_2$$

Q7: Proof in Natural deduction that $\{ \neg\neg\varphi \} \models \varphi$:

$$\frac{[\neg\varphi]^1 \quad \neg\neg\varphi}{\perp} \neg E$$

$$\frac{}{\varphi} \text{RAA}_1$$

Q8: Proof in Natural deduction that $\{ \neg\varphi \rightarrow \neg\psi \} \models \psi \rightarrow \varphi$:

$$\frac{[\varphi]^2 \quad \frac{[\neg\psi]^1 \quad \neg\psi \rightarrow \neg\varphi}{\neg\varphi} \rightarrow E}{\perp} \neg E$$

$$\frac{\perp}{\psi} \text{RAA}_1$$

$$\frac{}{\varphi \rightarrow \psi} \rightarrow I_2$$