exhausted. Here is a piece of code for doing both $G(i)$ and its inverse.

```c
unsigned long igray(unsigned long n, int is)
{
    int ish;
    unsigned long ans, idiv;
    if (is >= 0) {  // This is the easy direction!
        return n ^ (n >> 1);
    }
    ish = 1;        // This is the more complicated direction: In hierarchical
    ans = n;        // stages, starting with a one-bit right shift, cause each
    for (;;) {     // bit to be XORed with all more significant bits.
        ans ^= (idiv = ans >> ish);
        if (idiv <= 1 || ish == 16) return ans;
        ish <<= 1;    // Double the amount of shift on the next cycle.
    }
}
```

In numerical work, Gray codes can be useful when you need to do some task that depends intimately on the bits of $i$, looping over many values of $i$. Then, if there are economies in repeating the task for values differing by only one bit, it makes sense to do things in Gray code order rather than consecutive order. We saw an example of this in §7.7, for the generation of quasi-random sequences.
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### 20.3 Cyclic Redundancy and Other Checksums

When you send a sequence of bits from point A to point B, you want to know that it will arrive without error. A common form of insurance is the “parity bit,” attached to 7-bit ASCII characters to put them into 8-bit format. The parity bit is chosen so as to make the total number of one-bits (versus zero-bits) either always even (“even parity”) or always odd (“odd parity”). Any single bit error in a character will thereby be detected. When errors are sufficiently rare, and do not occur closely bunched in time, use of parity provides sufficient error detection.

Unfortunately, in real situations, a single noise “event” is likely to disrupt more than one bit. Since the parity bit has two possible values (0 and 1), it gives, on average, only a 50% chance of detecting an erroneous character with more than one wrong bit. That probability, 50%, is not nearly good enough for most applications. Most communications protocols use a multibit generalization of the parity bit called a “cyclic redundancy check” or CRC. In typical applications the CRC is 16 bits long (two bytes or two characters), so that the chance of a random error going undetected is 1 in $2^{16} = 65536$. Moreover, $M$-bit CRCs have the mathematical
property of detecting all errors that occur in $M$ or fewer consecutive bits, for any length of message. (We prove this below.) Since noise in communication channels tends to be “bursty,” with short sequences of adjacent bits getting corrupted, this consecutive-bit property is highly desirable.

Normally CRCs lie in the province of communications software experts and chip-level hardware designers — people with bits under their fingernails. However, there are at least two kinds of situations where some understanding of CRCs can be useful to the rest of us. First, we sometimes need to be able to communicate with a lower-level piece of hardware or software that expects a valid CRC as part of its input. For example, it can be convenient to have a program generate XMODEM or Kermit[2] packets directly into the communications line rather than having to store the data in a local file.

Second, in the manipulation of large quantities of (e.g., experimental) data, it is useful to be able to tag aggregates of data (whether numbers, records, lines, or whole files) with a statistically unique “key,” its CRC. Aggregates of any size can then be compared for identity by comparing only their short CRC keys. Differing keys imply nonidentical records. Identical keys imply, to high statistical certainty, identical records. If you can’t tolerate the very small probability of being wrong, you can do a full comparison of the records when the keys are identical. When there is a possibility of files or data records being inadvertently or irresponsibly modified (for example, by a computer virus), it is useful to have their prior CRCs stored externally on a physically secure medium, like a floppy disk.

Sometimes CRCs can be used to compress data as it is recorded. If identical data records occur frequently, one can keep sorted in memory the CRCs of previously encountered records. A new record is archived in full if its CRC is different, otherwise only a pointer to a previous record need be archived. In this application one might desire a 4- or 8-byte CRC, to make the odds of mistakenly discarding a different data record be tolerably small; or, if previous records can be randomly accessed, a full comparison can be made to decide whether records with identical CRCs are in fact identical.

Now let us briefly discuss the theory of CRCs. After that, we will give implementations of various (related) CRCs that are used by the official or de facto standard protocols [1-3] listed in the accompanying table.

The mathematics underlying CRCs is “polynomials over the integers modulo 2.” Any binary message can be thought of as a polynomial with coefficients 0 and 1. For example, the message “1100001101” is the polynomial $x^9 + x^8 + x^3 + x^2 + 1$. Since 0 and 1 are the only integers modulo 2, a power of $x$ in the polynomial is either present (1) or absent (0). A polynomial over the integers modulo 2 may be irreducible, meaning that it can’t be factored. A subset of the irreducible polynomials are the “primitive” polynomials. These generate maximum length sequences when used in shift registers, as described in §7.4. The polynomial $x^2 + 1$ is not irreducible: $x^2 + 1 = (x+1)(x+1)$, so it is also not primitive. The polynomial $x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1$ is irreducible, but it turns out not to be primitive. The polynomial $x^4 + x + 1$ is both irreducible and primitive.

An $M$-bit long CRC is based on a primitive polynomial of degree $M$, called the generator polynomial. Alternatively, the generator is chosen to be a primitive polynomial times $(1 + x)$ (this finds all parity errors). For 16-bit CRC’s, the CCITT (Comité Consultatif International Télégraphique et Téléphonique) has anointed the
Conventions and Test Values for Various CRC Protocols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protocol</th>
<th>jinit</th>
<th>jrev</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>CatMouse987654321</th>
<th>Format</th>
<th>CRC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XMODEM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1A71</td>
<td>E556</td>
<td>S1S2...SNC2C1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X.25</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td>1B26</td>
<td>F56E</td>
<td>S1S2...SNC1C2</td>
<td>F0B8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(no name)</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td>1B26</td>
<td>F56E</td>
<td>S1S2...SNC1C2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDLC (IBM)</td>
<td>same as X.25</td>
<td>same as X.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDLC (ISO)</td>
<td>same as X.25</td>
<td>same as X.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRC-CCITT</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td>14A1</td>
<td>C28D</td>
<td>S1S2...SNC1C2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(no name)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td>14A1</td>
<td>C28D</td>
<td>S1S2...SNC1C2</td>
<td>F0B8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kermit</td>
<td>same as CRC-CCITT</td>
<td>see Notes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Overbar denotes bit complement. S1...SN are character data. C1 is CRC’s least significant 8 bits, C2 is its most significant 8 bits, so CRC = 256 C2 + C1 (shown in hex). Kermit (block check level 3) sends the CRC as 3 printable ASCII characters (sends value 1+32). These contain, respectively, 4 most significant bits, 6 middle bits, 6 least significant bits.

“CCITT polynomial,” which is \(x^{16} + x^{12} + x^5 + 1\). This polynomial is used by all of the protocols listed in the table. Another common choice is the “CRC-16” polynomial \(x^{16} + x^{15} + x^2 + 1\), which is used for EBCDIC messages in IBM’s BISYNCH [1]. A common 12-bit choice, “CRC-12,” is \(x^{12} + x^{11} + x^3 + x + 1\). A common 32-bit choice, “AUTODIN-II,” is \(x^{32} + x^{26} + x^{23} + x^{22} + x^{16} + x^{12} + x^{11} + x^{10} + x^8 + x^7 + x^5 + x^4 + x^2 + x + 1\). For a table of some other primitive polynomials, see §7.4.

**Given the generator polynomial** \(G\) **of degree** \(M\) **(which can be written either in polynomial form or as a bit-string, e.g., 1000100000100001 for CCITT), here is how you compute the CRC for a sequence of bits** \(S\): First, multiply \(S\) by \(x^M\), that is, append \(M\) zero bits to it. Second divide — by long division — \(G\) into \(Sx^M\). Keep in mind that the subtractions in the long division are done modulo 2, so that there are never any “borrows”: Modulo 2 subtraction is the same as logical exclusive-or (XOR). Third, ignore the quotient you get. Fourth, when you eventually get to a remainder, it is the CRC, call it \(C\). \(C\) will be a polynomial of degree \(M - 1\) or less, otherwise you would not have finished the long division. Therefore, in bit string form, it has \(M\) bits, which may include leading zeros. (\(C\) might even be all zeros, see below.) See [3] for a worked example.

If you work through the above steps in an example, you will see that most of what you write down in the long-division tableau is superfluous. You are actually just left-shifting sequential bits of \(S\), from the right, into an \(M\)-bit register. Every time a 1 bit gets shifted off the left end of this register, you zap the register by an XOR with the \(M\) low order bits of \(G\) (that is, all the bits of \(G\) except its leading 1). When a 0 bit is shifted off the left end you don’t zap the register. When the last bit that was originally part of \(S\) gets shifted off the left end of the register, what remains is the CRC.

You can immediately recognize how efficiently this procedure can be implemented in hardware. It requires only a shift register with a few hard-wired XOR taps into it. That is how CRCs are computed in communications devices, by a single
We can now see how the CRC gets its ability to detect all errors in \( M \) consecutive bits. Suppose two messages, \( S \) and \( T \), differ only within a frame of \( M \) bits. Then their CRCs differ by an amount that is the remainder when \( G \) is divided into \( (S - T)x^M \equiv D \). Now \( D \) has the form of leading zeros (which can be ignored), followed by some 1's in an \( M \)-bit frame, followed by trailing zeros (which are just multiplicative factors of \( x \)): \( D = x^n F \) where \( F \) is a polynomial of degree at most \( M - 1 \) and \( n > 0 \). Since \( G \) is always primitive or primitive times \((1 + x)\), it is not divisible by \( x \). So \( G \) cannot divide \( D \). Therefore \( S \) and \( T \) must have different CRCs.

In most protocols, a transmitted block of data consists of some \( N \) data bits, directly followed by the \( M \) bits of their CRC (or the CRC XORED with a constant, see below). There are two equivalent ways of validating a block at the receiving end. Most obviously, the receiver can compute the CRC of the data bits, and compare it to the transmitted CRC bits. Less obviously, but more elegantly, the receiver can simply compute the CRC of the total block, with \( N + M \) bits, and verify that a result of zero is obtained. Proof: The total block is the polynomial \( Sx^m + C \) (data left-shifted to make room for the CRC bits). The definition of \( C \) is that \( Sx^m = QG + C \), where \( Q \) is the discarded quotient. But then \( Sx^m + C = QG + C + C = QG \) (remember modulo 2), which is a perfect multiple of \( G \). It remains a multiple of \( G \) when it gets multiplied by an additional \( x^M \) on the receiving end, so it has a zero CRC, q.e.d.

A couple of small variations on the basic procedure need to be mentioned [1,3]: First, when the CRC is computed, the \( M \)-bit register need not be initialized to zero. Initializing it to some other \( M \)-bit value (e.g., all 1's) in effect prefaces all blocks by a phantom message that would have given the initialization value as its remainder. It is advantageous to do this, since the CRC described thus far otherwise cannot detect the addition or removal of any number of initial zero bits. (Loss of an initial bit, or insertion of zero bits, are common “clocking errors.”) Second, one can add (XOR) any \( M \)-bit constant \( K \) to the CRC before it is transmitted. This constant can either be XORed away at the receiving end, or else it just changes the expected CRC of the whole block by a known amount, namely the remainder of dividing \( G \) into \( Kx^M \). The constant \( K \) is frequently “all bits,” changing the CRC into its ones complement. This has the advantage of detecting another kind of error that the CRC would otherwise not find: deletion of an initial 1 bit in the message with spurious insertion of a 1 bit at the end of the block.

The accompanying function \texttt{icrc} implements the above CRC calculation, including the possibility of the mentioned variations. Input to the function is an array of characters, and the length of that array. \texttt{icrc} has two “switch” arguments that specify variations in the CRC calculation. A zero or positive value of \texttt{jinit} causes the 16-bit register to have each byte initialized with the value \texttt{jinit}. A negative value of \texttt{jrev} causes each input character to be interpreted as its bit-reverse image, and a similar bit reversal to be done on the output CRC. You do not have to understand this; just use the values of \texttt{jinit} and \texttt{jrev} specified in the table. (If you insist on knowing, the explanation is that serial data ports send characters \textit{least-significant bit first} (!), and many protocols shift bits into the CRC register in exactly the order received.) The table shows how to construct a block...
of characters from the input array and output CRC of \texttt{icrc}. You should not need to do any additional bit-reversal outside of \texttt{icrc}.

The switch \texttt{jinit} has one additional use: When negative it causes the input value of the array \texttt{crc} to be used as initialization of the register. If you set \texttt{crc} to the result of the last call to \texttt{icrc}, this in effect appends the current input array to that of the previous call or calls. Use this feature, for example, to build up the CRC of a whole file a line at a time, without keeping the whole file in memory.

The routine \texttt{icrc} is loosely based on the function in \cite{4}. Here is how to understand its operation: First look at the function \texttt{icrc1}. This incorporates one input character into a 16-bit CRC register. The only trick used is that character bits are XORed into the most significant bits, eight at a time, instead of being fed into the least significant bit, one bit at a time, at the time of the register shift. This works because XOR is associative and commutative — we can feed in character bits any time before they will determine whether to zap with the generator polynomial. (The decimal constant 4129 has the generator’s bits in it.)

\begin{verbatim}
unsigned short icrc1(unsigned short crc, unsigned char onech)
    Given a remainder up to now, return the new CRC after one character is added. This routine is functionally equivalent to \texttt{icrc(,,1,-1,1)}, but slower. It is used by \texttt{icrc} to initialize its table.
    {
        int i;
        unsigned short ans=(crc ^ onech << 8);
        for (i=0;i<8;i++) {
            Here is where 8 one-bit shifts, and some XORs with the generator polynomial, are done.
            if (ans & 0x8000)  ans = (ans <<= 1) ^ 4129;
            else  ans <<= 1;
        }
        return ans;
    }
\end{verbatim}

Now look at \texttt{icrc}. There are two parts to understand, how it builds a table when it initializes, and how it uses that table later on. Go back to thinking about a character’s bits being shifted into the CRC register from the least significant end. The key observation is that while 8 bits are being shifted into the register’s low end, all the generator zapping is being determined by the bits already in the high end. Since XOR is commutative and associative, all we need is a table of the result of all this zapping, for each of 256 possible high-bit configurations. Then we can play catch-up and XOR an input character into the result of a lookup into this table. The only other content to \texttt{icrc} is the construction at initialization time of an 8-bit bit-reverse table from the 4-bit table stored in it, and the logic associated with doing the bit reversals. References \cite{4-6} give further details on table-driven CRC computations.

\begin{verbatim}
typedef unsigned char uchar;
define LOBYTE(x) ((uchar)((x) & 0xFF))
define HIBYTE(x) ((uchar)((x) >> 8))

unsigned short icrc(unsigned short crc, unsigned char *bufptr,
        unsigned long len, short jinit, int jrev)
    Computes a 16-bit Cyclic Redundancy Check for a byte array \texttt{bufptr[1..len]}, using any of several conventions as determined by the settings of \texttt{jinit} and \texttt{jrev} (see accompanying
\end{verbatim}
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Table). If \( j_{\text{init}} \) is negative, then \( \text{crc} \) is used on input to initialize the remainder register, in effect (for \( \text{crc} \) set to the last returned value) concatenating \( \text{bufptr} \) to the previous call.

```c
{ unsigned short icrc1(unsigned short crc, unsigned char onech); static unsigned short icrc[256],init=0; static uchar rchr[256]; unsigned short j,cword=crc; static uchar it[16]={0,8,4,12,2,10,6,14,1,9,5,13,3,11,7,15}; Table of 4-bit bit-reverses.

if (!init) {
  Do we need to initialize tables?
  init=1;
  for (j=0;j<=255;j++) {
    The two tables are: CRCs of all characters, and bit-reverses of all characters.
    icrc[j]=icrc1(j << 8,(uchar)0);
    rchr[j]=(uchar)(it[j & 0xF] << 4 | it[j >> 4]);
  }
}

if (j_{\text{init}} >= 0) cword=((uchar) j_{\text{init}}) | (((uchar) j_{\text{init}}) << 8); Initialize the remainder register.
else if (j_{\text{rev}} < 0) cword=rchr[HIBYTE(cword)] | rchr[LOBYTE(cword)] << 8; If not initializing, do we reverse the register?
for (j=1;j<=len;j++) Main loop over the characters in the array.
cword=icrc[(j_{\text{rev}} < 0 ? rchr[bufptr[j]] : bufptr[j]) ^ HIBYTE(cword)] ^ LOBYTE(cword) << 8;
return (j_{\text{rev}} >= 0 ? cword : rchr[HIBYTE(cword)] | rchr[LOBYTE(cword)] << 8); Do we need to reverse the output?
}
```

What if you need a 32-bit checksum? For a true 32-bit CRC, you will need to rewrite the routines given to work with a longer generating polynomial. For example, \( x^{32} + x^7 + x^5 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1 \) is primitive modulo 2, and has nonleading, nonzero bits only in its least significant byte (which makes for some simplification). The idea of table lookup on only the most significant byte of the CRC register goes through unchanged.

If you do not care about the \( M \)-consecutive bit property of the checksum, but rather only need a statistically random 32 bits, then you can use \( \text{icrc} \) as given here: Call it once with \( j_{\text{rev}} = 1 \) to get 16 bits, and again with \( j_{\text{rev}} = -1 \) to get another 16 bits. The internal bit reversals make these two 16-bit CRCs in effect totally independent of each other.

**Other Kinds of Checksums**

Quite different from CRCs are the various techniques used to append a decimal “check digit” to numbers that are handled by human beings (e.g., typed into a computer). Check digits need to be proof against the kinds of highly structured errors that humans tend to make, such as transposing consecutive digits. Wagner and Putter [7] give an interesting introduction to this subject, including specific algorithms.

Checksums now in widespread use vary from fair to poor. The 10-digit ISBN (International Standard Book Number) that you find on most books, including this one, uses the check equation

\[
10d_1 + 9d_2 + 8d_3 + \cdots + 2d_9 + d_{10} = 0 \pmod{11} \quad (20.3.1)
\]

where \( d_{10} \) is the right-hand check digit. The character “X” is used to represent a check digit value of 10. Another popular scheme is the so-called “IBM check,” often
used for account numbers (including, e.g., MasterCard). Here, the check equation is

\[ 2\# d_1 + d_2 + 2\# d_3 + d_4 + \cdots = 0 \pmod{10} \quad (20.3.2) \]

where \( 2\# d \) means, “multiply \( d \) by two and add the resulting decimal digits.” United States banks code checks with a 9-digit processing number whose check equation is

\[ 3a_1 + 7a_2 + a_3 + 3a_4 + 7a_5 + a_6 + 3a_7 + 7a_8 + a_9 = 0 \pmod{10} \quad (20.3.3) \]

The bar code put on many envelopes by the U.S. Postal Service is decoded by removing the single tall marker bars at each end, and breaking the remaining bars into 6 or 10 groups of five. In each group the five bars signify (from left to right) the values 7,4,1,0. Exactly two of them will be Tall. Their sum is the represented digit, except that zero is represented as 7 + 4. The 5- or 9-digit Zip Code is followed by a check digit, with the check equation

\[ \sum d_i = 0 \pmod{10} \quad (20.3.4) \]

None of these schemes is close to optimal. An elegant scheme due to Verhoeff is described in [7]. The underlying idea is to use the ten-element dihedral group \( D_5 \), which corresponds to the symmetries of a pentagon, instead of the cyclic group of the integers modulo 10. The check equation is

\[ a_1^* f(a_2)^* f^2(a_3)^* \cdots f^{n-1}(a_n) = 0 \quad (20.3.5) \]

where \( * \) is (noncommutative) multiplication in \( D_5 \), and \( f^i \) denotes the \( i \)th iteration of a certain fixed permutation. Verhoeff’s method finds all single errors in a string, and all adjacent transpositions. It also finds about 95% of twin errors (\( aa \to bb \)), jump transpositions (\( acb \to bca \)), and jump twin errors (\( aca \to bcb \)). Here is an implementation:

```c
int decchk(char string[], int n, char *ch)
{
    char c;
    int j,k=0,m=0;
    static int ip[10][8]={0,1,5,8,9,4,2,7,1,5, 8,9,4,2,7,0,2,7,0,1,
                         5,8,9,4,3,6,3,6,3,6, 3,6,4,2,7,0,1,5,8,9, 5,8,9,4,2,7,0,1,6,3,
                         6,3,6,3,6,3,7,0,1,5,8,9,4,2,8,9,4,2,7,0, 1,5,9,4,2,7,0,1,5,8};
    static int ij[10][10]={0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9, 1,2,3,4,0,6,7,8,9,5,
                         2,3,4,0,1,7,8,9,5,6, 3,4,0,1,2,8,9,5,6,7, 4,0,1,2,3,9,8,6,7,8,
                         5,9,8,7,6,0,4,3,2,1, 6,5,9,8,7,1,0,4,3,2, 7,6,5,9,8,2,1,0,4,3,
                         8,7,6,5,9,3,2,1,0,4, 9,8,7,6,5,4,3,2,1,0};
    Group multiplication and permutation tables.

    for (j=0;j<n;++j)
    {
        Look at successive characters.
        c=string[j];
        if (c >= 48 && c <= 57) Ignore everything except digits.
```


A lossless data compression algorithm takes a string of symbols (typically ASCII characters or bytes) and translates it reversibly into another string, one that is on the average of shorter length. The words “on the average” are crucial; it is obvious that no reversible algorithm can make all strings shorter — there just aren’t enough short strings to be in one-to-one correspondence with longer strings. Compression algorithms are possible only when, on the input side, some strings, or some input symbols, are more common than others. These can then be encoded in fewer bits than rarer input strings or symbols, giving a net average gain.

There exist many, quite different, compression techniques, corresponding to different ways of detecting and using departures from equiprobability in input strings. In this section and the next we shall consider only variable length codes with defined word inputs. In these, the input is sliced into fixed units, for example ASCII characters, while the corresponding output comes in chunks of variable size. The simplest such method is Huffman coding [1], discussed in this section. Another example, arithmetic compression, is discussed in §20.5.

At the opposite extreme from defined-word, variable length codes are schemes that divide up the input into units of variable length (words or phrases of English text, for example) and then transmit these, often with a fixed-length output code. The most widely used code of this type is the Ziv-Lempel code [2]. References [3-6] give the flavor of some other compression techniques, with references to the large literature.

The idea behind Huffman coding is simply to use shorter bit patterns for more common characters. We can make this idea quantitative by considering the concept of entropy. Suppose the input alphabet has \( N_{ch} \) characters, and that these occur in the input string with respective probabilities \( p_i, i = 1, \ldots, N_{ch} \), so that \( \sum p_i = 1 \). Then the fundamental theorem of information theory says that strings consisting of